STATE OF MARYLAND STATE OF MARYLAND No. Bernard Gough Defendant ORDER TO VACATE PROBATION BEFORE JUDGMENT / CONVICTION (Criminal Procedure § 8-301.1) Having met the requirements of Criminal Procedure § 8-301.1(b)(1)-(4), a hearing was held on the tate of Maryland's Motion to Vacate and the court finds: A □ probation before judgment ☑ judgment of conviction was entered. The defendant has been notified by the State of Maryland in writing of the filing of the state's Moti Vacate in this matter. The defendant received actual notice of the date, time, and place of this hearing. A victim or victim's representative has been notified of this proceeding by the State of Maryland p to Criminal Procedure § 11-104 and § 11-503. The State of Maryland has discovered new evidence that could not have been discovered by due di in time for a new trial under Md. Rule 4-331(c) AND creates a substantial or significant probabilit the result would have been different; OR The State's Attorney received the new information after the entry of the probation before judgment judgment of conviction that calls into question the integrity of the probation before judgment or conviction AND the interest of justice and fairness justifies vacating the probation before judgment conviction. Let Court ORDERS that the State of Maryland's Motion to Vacate is: □ WITH PREJUDICE (defendant received actual notice of the hearing); Reasons for denial: □ GRANTED. Vacate the conviction or probation before judgment for each of the following charges/counts and discharge the defendant: GRANTED. Vacate the conviction or probation before judgment for each of the following charges/counts and discharge the defendant: GRANTED. Vacate the conviction or probation before judgment for each of the following charges/counts and discharge the defendant: GRANTED. Vacate the conviction and in the interest of justice and fairness the conviction shall be vacated.	
ORDER TO VACATE PROBATION BEFORE JUDGMENT / CONVICTION (Criminal Procedure § 8-301.1) Having met the requirements of Criminal Procedure § 8-301.1(b)(1)-(4), a hearing was held on the ate of Maryland's Motion to Vacate and the court finds: A □ probation before judgment ☑ judgment of conviction was entered. The defendant has been notified by the State of Maryland in writing of the filing of the state's Mot Vacate in this matter. The defendant received actual notice of the date, time, and place of this hearing. A victim or victim's representative has been notified of this proceeding by the State of Maryland p to Criminal Procedure § 11-104 and § 11-503. The State of Maryland has discovered new evidence that could not have been discovered by due di in time for a new trial under Md. Rule 4-331(c) AND creates a substantial or significant probabilit the result would have been different; OR The State's Attorney received the new information after the entry of the probation before judgment judgment of conviction that calls into question the integrity of the probation before judgment or conviction AND the interest of justice and fairness justifies vacating the probation before judgment conviction. **DENIED** as to the following charges/counts: □ WITH PREJUDICE (defendant received actual notice of the hearing); Reasons for denial: □ GRANTED. Vacate the conviction or probation before judgment for each of the following charges/counts and discharge the defendant: Reasons: State met its burden and in the interest of justice and fairness the conviction shall be vacated. Sturther ORDERED that:	
ORDER TO VACATE PROBATION BEFORE JUDGMENT / CONVICTION (Criminal Procedure § 8-301.1()) Having met the requirements of Criminal Procedure § 8-301.1(b)(1)-(4), a hearing was held on the ate of Maryland's Motion to Vacate and the court finds: A probation before judgment Judgment of conviction was entered. The defendant has been notified by the State of Maryland in writing of the filing of the state's Moti Vacate in this matter. The defendant received actual notice of the date, time, and place of this hearing. A victim or victim's representative has been notified of this proceeding by the State of Maryland p to Criminal Procedure § 11-104 and § 11-503. The State of Maryland has discovered new evidence that could not have been discovered by due di in time for a new trial under Md. Rule 4-331(c) AND creates a substantial or significant probabilit the result would have been different; OR The State's Attorney received the new information after the entry of the probation before judgment judgment of conviction that calls into question the integrity of the probation before judgment conviction AND the interest of justice and fairness justifies vacating the probation before judgment conviction. The court ORDERS that the State of Maryland's Motion to Vacate is: DENIED as to the following charges/counts: WITH PREJUDICE (defendant received actual notice of the hearing); Reasons for denial: GRANTED. Vacate the conviction or probation before judgment for each of the following charges/counts and discharge the defendant: Reasons: State met its burden and in the interest of justice and fairness the conviction shall be vacated. Sturther ORDERED that: State met its burden and in the interest of justice and fairness the conviction shall be vacated.	
ate of Maryland's Motion to Vacate and the court finds: A □ probation before judgment 図 judgment of conviction was entered. The defendant has been notified by the State of Maryland in writing of the filing of the state's Moti Vacate in this matter. The defendant received actual notice of the date, time, and place of this hearing. A victim or victim's representative has been notified of this proceeding by the State of Maryland p to Criminal Procedure § 11-104 and § 11-503. The State of Maryland has discovered new evidence that could not have been discovered by due di in time for a new trial under Md. Rule 4-331(c) AND creates a substantial or significant probabilit the result would have been different; OR The State's Attorney received the new information after the entry of the probation before judgment judgment of conviction that calls into question the integrity of the probation before judgment or conviction AND the interest of justice and fairness justifies vacating the probation before judgment conviction. The Court ORDERS that the State of Maryland's Motion to Vacate is:	NC
The defendant has been notified by the State of Maryland in writing of the filing of the state's Moti Vacate in this matter. The defendant received actual notice of the date, time, and place of this hearing. A victim or victim's representative has been notified of this proceeding by the State of Maryland p to Criminal Procedure § 11-104 and § 11-503. The State of Maryland has discovered new evidence that could not have been discovered by due di in time for a new trial under Md. Rule 4-331(c) AND creates a substantial or significant probabilit the result would have been different; OR The State's Attorney received the new information after the entry of the probation before judgment judgment of conviction that calls into question the integrity of the probation before judgment conviction. AND the interest of justice and fairness justifies vacating the probation before judgment conviction. The court ORDERS that the State of Maryland's Motion to Vacate is: DENIED as to the following charges/counts: GRANTED. Vacate the conviction or probation before judgment for each of the hearing); Reasons for denial: GRANTED. Vacate the conviction or probation before judgment for each of the following charges/counts and discharge the defendant: Reasons: State met its burden and in the interest of justice and fairness the conviction shall be vacated.	e
Vacate in this matter. The defendant received actual notice of the date, time, and place of this hearing. A victim or victim's representative has been notified of this proceeding by the State of Maryland p to Criminal Procedure § 11-104 and § 11-503. The State of Maryland has discovered new evidence that could not have been discovered by due di in time for a new trial under Md. Rule 4-331(c) AND creates a substantial or significant probabilit the result would have been different; OR The State's Attorney received the new information after the entry of the probation before judgment judgment of conviction that calls into question the integrity of the probation before judgment or conviction AND the interest of justice and fairness justifies vacating the probation before judgment conviction. The court ORDERS that the State of Maryland's Motion to Vacate is: DENIED as to the following charges/counts: WITH PREJUDICE (defendant received actual notice of the hearing); Reasons for denial: GRANTED. Vacate the conviction or probation before judgment for each of the following charges/counts and discharge the defendant: Reasons: State met its burden and in the interest of justice and fairness the conviction shall be vacated. Sturther ORDERED that:	
A victim or victim's representative has been notified of this proceeding by the State of Maryland p to Criminal Procedure § 11-104 and § 11-503. The State of Maryland has discovered new evidence that could not have been discovered by due di in time for a new trial under Md. Rule 4-331(c) AND creates a substantial or significant probabilit the result would have been different; OR The State's Attorney received the new information after the entry of the probation before judgment judgment of conviction that calls into question the integrity of the probation before judgment or conviction AND the interest of justice and fairness justifies vacating the probation before judgment conviction. And the interest of Maryland's Motion to Vacate is: DENIED as to the following charges/counts: WITH PREJUDICE (defendant received actual notice of the hearing) WITHOUT PREJUDICE (defendant did not receive actual notice of the hearing); Reasons for denial: GRANTED. Vacate the conviction or probation before judgment for each of the following charges/counts and discharge the defendant: Reasons: State met its burden and in the interest of justice and fairness the conviction shall be vacated.	otion to
to Criminal Procedure § 11-104 and § 11-503. The State of Maryland has discovered new evidence that could not have been discovered by due di in time for a new trial under Md. Rule 4-331(c) AND creates a substantial or significant probabilit the result would have been different; OR The State's Attorney received the new information after the entry of the probation before judgment judgment of conviction that calls into question the integrity of the probation before judgment or conviction AND the interest of justice and fairness justifies vacating the probation before judgmen conviction. The court ORDERS that the State of Maryland's Motion to Vacate is: DENIED as to the following charges/counts: WITH PREJUDICE (defendant received actual notice of the hearing) WITHOUT PREJUDICE (defendant did not receive actual notice of the hearing); Reasons for denial: GRANTED. Vacate the conviction or probation before judgment for each of the following charges/counts and discharge the defendant: Reasons: State met its burden and in the interest of justice and fairness the conviction shall be vacated. Sturther ORDERED that:	
in time for a new trial under Md. Rule 4-331(c) AND creates a substantial or significant probability the result would have been different; OR If The State's Attorney received the new information after the entry of the probation before judgment judgment of conviction that calls into question the integrity of the probation before judgment or conviction AND the interest of justice and fairness justifies vacating the probation before judgment conviction. The court ORDERS that the State of Maryland's Motion to Vacate is: DENIED as to the following charges/counts: WITH PREJUDICE (defendant received actual notice of the hearing) WITHOUT PREJUDICE (defendant did not receive actual notice of the hearing); Reasons for denial: GRANTED. Vacate the conviction or probation before judgment for each of the following charges/counts and discharge the defendant: Reasons: State met its burden and in the interest of justice and fairness the conviction shall be vacated.	pursuan
judgment of conviction that calls into question the integrity of the probation before judgment or conviction AND the interest of justice and fairness justifies vacating the probation before judgment conviction. the court ORDERS that the State of Maryland's Motion to Vacate is: DENIED as to the following charges/counts: WITH PREJUDICE (defendant received actual notice of the hearing) WITHOUT PREJUDICE (defendant did not receive actual notice of the hearing); Reasons for denial: GRANTED. Vacate the conviction or probation before judgment for each of the following charges/counts and discharge the defendant: Reasons: State met its burden and in the interest of justice and fairness the conviction shall be vacated.	
DENIED as to the following charges/counts: WITH PREJUDICE (defendant received actual notice of the hearing) WITHOUT PREJUDICE (defendant did not receive actual notice of the hearing); Reasons for denial: GRANTED. Vacate the conviction or probation before judgment for each of the following charges/counts and discharge the defendant: Reasons: State met its burden and in the interest of justice and fairness the conviction shall be vacated. State ORDERED WITHOUT ORDERED	
□ WITH PREJUDICE (defendant received actual notice of the hearing) □ WITHOUT PREJUDICE (defendant did not receive actual notice of the hearing); Reasons for denial: □ GRANTED. Vacate the conviction or probation before judgment for each of the following charges/counts and discharge the defendant: Reasons: State met its burden and in the interest of justice and fairness the conviction shall be vacated. Its further ORDERED that:	
□ WITHOUT PREJUDICE (defendant did not receive actual notice of the hearing); Reasons for denial: □ GRANTED. Vacate the conviction or probation before judgment for each of the following charges/counts and discharge the defendant: □ Reasons: State met its burden and in the interest of justice and fairness the conviction shall be vacated. □ is further ORDERED that: □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	
□ WITHOUT PREJUDICE (defendant did not receive actual notice of the hearing); Reasons for denial: □ GRANTED. Vacate the conviction or probation before judgment for each of the following charges/counts and discharge the defendant: □ Reasons: State met its burden and in the interest of justice and fairness the conviction shall be vacated. □ is further ORDERED that: □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	
□ WITHOUT PREJUDICE (defendant did not receive actual notice of the hearing); Reasons for denial: □ GRANTED. Vacate the conviction or probation before judgment for each of the following charges/counts and discharge the defendant: □ Reasons: State met its burden and in the interest of justice and fairness the conviction shall be vacated. □ is further ORDERED that: □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	
□ WITHOUT PREJUDICE (defendant did not receive actual notice of the hearing); Reasons for denial: □ GRANTED. Vacate the conviction or probation before judgment for each of the following charges/counts and discharge the defendant: □ Reasons: State met its burden and in the interest of justice and fairness the conviction shall be vacated. s further ORDERED that: □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	11
GRANTED. Vacate the conviction or probation before judgment for each of the following charges/counts and discharge the defendant: Reasons: State met its burden and in the interest of justice and fairness the conviction shall be vacated. Is further ORDERED that:	***************************************
Reasons: State met its burden and in the interest of justice and fairness the conviction shall be vacated.	
Reasons: State met its burden and in the interest of justice and fairness the conviction shall be vacated.	
vacated. is further ORDERED that:	
vacated. s further ORDERED that:	
vacated. s further ORDERED that:	
vacated. s further ORDERED that:	
vacated. s further ORDERED that:	
s further ORDERED that:	e
The state of the s	
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE APPEARS	
	-
ON THE ORIGINAL DOCUMENT Date ON THE ORIGINAL DOCUMENT Judge ID N	